

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/777,406		KURGANOV, ALEXANDER	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	KRISTIE D. SHINGLES		2441	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) KRISTIE D. SHINGLES, Examiner.

(2) Scott Kaspar, Atty.

**Date of Interview:** 17 December 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*32, 53 and 63*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kristie D. Shingles/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2441

**Status of Application:** ALLOWED

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 4:00pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: With Primary's approval, Examiner suggested an Examiner's Amendment to further clarify the term "regular expression" in the claim language, based on the term definition and explanation made in Applicant's Remarks filed 9/15/08. Specifically, Examiner proposed adding the clause, "wherein the/said regular expression is a special text string used for describing a search pattern". Atty further clarified that the "said instruction set" should be amended in claims 53 and 63 to "said instruction sets" to reference both first and second instruction sets. Atty authorized the Examiner's Amendment and the independent claims were amended accordingly.